Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting:

May 12-13, 2004

Reference No.:

2.8d.(1)

Action Item

From:

ROBERT L. GARCIA

Chief Financial Officer

Prepared by:

Terry Abbott

Division Chief Local Assistance

Ref:

REQUEST TO EXTEND THE PERIOD OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT
EXPENDITURE PER RESOLUTION G-03-19, FOR LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS
PROJECTS

WAIVER-03-51

ISSUE:

The California Transportation Commission (Commission) allocated funds totaling \$738,000 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2001-2002 for five local projects. The responsible agencies will be unable to expend all the funds by the expenditure deadline of June 30, 2004. The attachment shows the details of the projects and the delays that have resulted in the extension requests. The responsible agencies request extensions and their respective planning agencies concur.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Department of Transportation's recommendations are shown on the attachment.

BACKGROUND:

Resolution G-03-19, State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Guidelines, adopted by the Commission on December 11, 2003, stipulates that funds programmed for project development and right of way components of local grant projects are available for expenditure only until the end of the second fiscal year after allocation. The Guidelines further stipulate that the Commission may approve waivers to the timely use of funds deadline one time only for up to 20 months in accordance with Section 14529.8 of the Government Code.

Attachment

Time Extension/Waiver – Project Development Expenditure Deadline Local Streets and Roads Projects Program Year 2003-04

Project #	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested	
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands)		
	•	E&P	Extended Deadline	
	PPNO	PS&E		
	Project Description	R/W	CT Recommendation	
		CON		
		TOTAL		
	Reason for Project Delay:			
1	City of Eureka	\$250	19 months	
	Humboldt	\$0		
		\$0	01/31/2006	
	PPNO: 2069	\$0		
	Waterfront Drive Extension	\$250	Support – meets STIP Guidelines	
	Additional delays of over six and final determination of the complex and will require ano uncertainty in the availability	months have arisen in arranging for a Fed- recommended environmental document. ther six months in studies. The progress of of funding and the current reprogramming	causing an immediate loss of six months. eral Highways Administration (FHWA) field review The environmental document has become more f the project has also been hampered by unforeseen g of the Regional Transportation Improvement o obtain final approval by all resource agencies and	
3	County of Siskiyou	\$0	6 months	
	Siskiyou	\$0		
		\$0	12/31/2004	
	PPNO: 2205	\$55		
	Planning, Programming & Monitoring (PPM)	\$55	Support – meets STIP Guidelines	
	These PPM funds were originally allocated to the McCloud Community Services District (District) to fund a project study report (PSR) for a bicycle/pedestrian path throughout the community. The District intended to immediately hire a consultant to prepare the PSR, however two large landowners surrounding McCloud voiced opposition to development of a plan that encouraged bike/pedestrian travel through their properties. The District proceeded with a public scoping process which included numerous public and private property owners and interested groups in an attempt to resolve major right-of-way issues prior to hiring the consultant to prepare the PSR. This scoping process lasted 12 months, resulting in a 6 month delay in hiring the consultant. As of January 2004, the District has completed the scoping process, resolved the right-of-way issues, and is prepared to secure a consultant to complete the PSR. However, in interviews with prospective consultants, it was discovered that a comprehensive PSR cannot be completed by the June 30, 2004 expenditure deadline. The District is committed to diligently pursue the PSR and has verified that the work can be completed by December 31, 2004. The County is requesting an extension of 6 months to the expenditure deadline. City of Alturas \$34			
	Modoc	\$74 \$74	20 months	
	Modoc	\$0	2/28/2006	
	PPNO: 2197	\$0 \$0	<u> </u>	
	8 th Street Rehabilitation		Support – meets STIP Guidelines	
	8 Street Kenabilitation	\$108	Support - meets of it Guidennes	
	Due to State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) budget constraints, funding for the construction phase of this project will not be available at the time anticipated when the project was first planned. The City would like to delay the completion of environmental and engineering so that they more closely coincide with the possible availability of construction funding. The City is requesting an extension of 20 months to the expenditure deadline.			

Reference No.: 2.8d.(1) May 12-13, 2004 Attachment, Page 2 of 2

Time Extension/Waiver – Project Development Expenditure Deadline Local Streets and Roads Projects Program Year 2003-04

	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands)	
		E&P	Extended Deadline
	PPNO	PS&E	
	Project Description	R/W	CT Recommendation
		CON	
		TOTAL	
	Reason for Project Delay:		
4	City of San Jose	\$0	20 months
	Santa Clara	\$125	
		\$0	2/28/2006
	PPNO: 2168	\$0	
	ITS Stevens Creek West	\$125	Support – meets STIP Guidelines
	include various non-construct performed until construction of the grant must occur durin (funded with PS&E funds) in Also, the project was origina	tion activities during and after construction /installation is complete, and project documing and after construction. These tasks were a part to avoid the need for a second consult ally scheduled to be funded by Congestion May was notified that the STIP matching funds	Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) projects. For example, signal timing work cannot be sentation and evaluation required by the provisions included as part of the consultant design contract tant contract as well as additional overhead costs. Management and Air Quality (CMAQ) and STIP for construction would not be allocated. Therefore,
	the City was required to re-se		shortfall. Due to these issues, the City is requesting
5	the City was required to re-san extension of 20 months to	the expenditure deadline.	shortfall. Due to these issues, the City is requesting 10 months
5	the City was required to re-s an extension of 20 months to Merced County	the expenditure deadline. \$200	
5	the City was required to re-san extension of 20 months to	o the expenditure deadline. \$200 \$0	10 months
5	the City was required to re-s an extension of 20 months to Merced County Merced	the expenditure deadline. \$200 \$0 \$0	
5	the City was required to re-s- an extension of 20 months to Merced County Merced PPNO: 5951	the expenditure deadline. \$200 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	10 months 4/30/2005
5	the City was required to re-s an extension of 20 months to Merced County Merced	the expenditure deadline. \$200 \$0 \$0	10 months